UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,721	11/12/2003	Gregory B. Altshuler	105090-207	7252
21125 7590 06/18/2007 NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			EXAMINER	
	DE CENTER WEST		SHAY, DAVID M	
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,721	ALTSHULER, GREGORY B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	david shay	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR INC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION. The reply be timely filed a reply be timely filed as a reply be timely filed			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July 20, 2005</u> .				
,	,—				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.Ĝ. 213.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on November 12, 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	s/are: a) accepted or be e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/4;4/26;10/18&11/5/04&7/20/05.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3735

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "interlock which operates in conjunction with said controls to disable operation of said sources unless said component is properly positioned to protect said selected portion"; the "diagnostic tool mounted on said mount"; and the "wireless connection" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,721

Art Unit: 3735

Claims 17 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24-28, 30, 31, and 35-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Russell.

Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-22, 24-28, 30, 31, and 35-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Alexander et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 23, 29, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell or Alexander et al in combination with Eckhardt et al. Russell or Alexander et al teach a

Art Unit: 3735

device as claimed except for the sensors that prevent the operation of the sources if the device is not properly positioned. Eckhardt et al teach an irradiation device with sensors that do not allow the device to be actuated unless the device is properly positioned. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the sensors of Eckhardt et al in the apparatus and method of Russell or Alexander et al, since Russell or Alexander et al discuss the need for protecting certain areas of the body from exposure, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 32, 33, and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell or Alexander et al in combination with Altshuler et al. Russell or Alexander et al teach a device as claimed except for the diagnostic sensors that prevent the operation of the sources if the device is not properly positioned. Altshuler et al teach an irradiation device with diagnostic sensors that do not allow the device to be actuated unless the device is properly positioned. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the sensors of Altshuler et al in the apparatus and method of Russell or Alexander et al, since this prevents undesired damage to the skin, as taught by Altshuler et al, and in either case to employ a wireless connection, since this is not critical; is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; would enable the illuminator to be used without tethering the user to the controller, thereby providing greater mobility, official notice of which is hereby taken; and provides no unexpected result, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,721 Page 5

Art Unit: 3735

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330